

IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
COCHIN BENCH, COCHIN

Before SHRI SATBEER SINGH GODARA, JUDICIAL MEMBER
AND
SHRI AMARJIT SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER

ITA.No.88/Coch/2024
Assessment Year - 2009-10

Tirurangadi Service Co-op. Bank Ltd. Main Road, Chemmad Tirurangadi Post Malappuram 676306 PAN: AABAT5445L	vs.	The Income Tax Officer, Ward -3, Tirur
(Appellant)		(Respondent)

Appellant by:	Shri Ramkumar Menon, CA
Respondent by:	Smt. V. Swarnalatha, Sr. D.R.

Date of Hearing:	19.08.2024
Date of Pronouncement:	07.11. 2024

ORDER

PER BENCH :

This assessee's appeal for A.Y.2009-10 arises against the National Faceless Appeal Centre, Delhi [CIT(A)]'s DIN & Order No. ITBA/NFAC/S/250/2023-24/1058656389(1) dated 11.12.2023 in proceedings u/s. 250 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (the Act).

Heard both parties. Case file perused.

2. The assessee's sole substantive ground raised in the instant appeal seeks to reverse both the learned lower authorities action disallowing its sec.80P deduction claim of Rs.43,91,161/-; in the course of assessment dated 21.12.2016; as upheld in the lower appellate discussion as follows :

4.3 I have gone through the facts of this case and the grounds of appeal, assessment order and the submissions of the appellant. Section 80P, which deals with the taxation and deductions available to Cooperative societies is as under.

80P : Deduction in respect of income of co- operative societies

(1) Where, in the case of an assessee being a co- operative society, the gross total income includes any income referred to in sub- section (2), there shall be deducted, in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this section, the sums specified in sub- section (2), in computing the total income of the assessee.

(2) The sums referred to in sub- section (1) shall be the following, namely:-

(a) in the case of a co- operative society engaged in-

(i) carrying on the **business of banking or providing credit facilities to its members, or**

(ii) ..

(vii)..

The whole of the amount of **profits and gains of business attributable to any one or more of such activities:**

(b)

(c) in the case of a co-operative society engaged in activities other than those specified in clause (a) or clause (b) (either independently of, or in addition to, all or any of the activities so specified), so much of its profits and gains attributable to such activities" as does not exceed,

(i) where such co-operative society is a consumers' co-operative society, " one hundred thousand rupees; and

(ii) in any other case, fifty thousand rupees.

(d) in respect of **any income by way of interest or dividends** derived by the co- operative society from its investments with any other cooperative society, the whole of such income;

(e)

(f)

— TRUE COPY —

5.1 Section 80P is specifically provided by the Act to give benefit of deduction to co-operative societies to encourage co-operative movement in India. The deductions available to cooperative societies are laid down in various clauses in respect of co operative societies engaged in various types of business activities for the benefit of its members in section 80P, be it manufacturing, processing, marketing of agricultural produce, labour supply, milk supply, fishing, banking or credit facilities etc. Therefore, the Act provides specific deductions under various sub clauses (a), (b), (c), (d) (e) etc. of 80P(2). Section 80P(2)(a)(i) is very specific in providing that the deduction is available to 'business income' of the specified activities only by the members. Sub clause deals with co-operative society, being a primary society engaged in supplying milk, oilseeds, fruits or vegetables raised or grown by its members. Sub clause (c) deals with the activities which are not covered by (a) and (b). The Legislature is also aware that these societies doing particular kinds of business also derive 'other income' capital gains, rents, interest income etc. apart from the main business income from the core activity done for the members. Therefore, clause (d) deals with the interest and dividend derived by the co-op societies under the head 'income from other sources', but makes it allowable only if earned from other co-op societies.

5.2 section 80P(2)(a)(i) is very specific in providing that the deduction is available to 'business income' of the specified activities only with a rider that 'to its members' only. Further, sub clause (d) of 80P(2) provides that if interest or dividend are received from another co operative society, then the same is also available as deduction. The same is extracted as under.

(d) in respect of **any income by way of interest or dividends** derived by the co- operative society from its investments with any other cooperative society, the whole of such income;

5.3 Therefore, the parliament is very clear in deciding while legislating as to what deduction is available to what kind of society and what other deduction is also available in respect of other income, if derived from other Co-operative society. A Co-operative bank is a Co-operative society first registered under the State Act or Central Act, which applied for license for banking to RBI. In other words, a Co-operative bank are co-operative societies engaged in banking business i.e. engaged in lending money to members of the public, which have a licence in this behalf from the RBI. Therefore the argument of the AO that the Co-operative banks are not co-operative societies is incorrect.

5.4 The decision of the Gujarat high court and the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of M/s Bangalore Club vs. Commissioner of Income tax (2013) 29 taxmann.com 29(SC) do not relate to the interest earned from a co-



- TRUE COPY -
For TIRURANGADI SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE
BANK LTD.No:F.1185

operative bank. In other words, the issue relates to interest earned by Cooperative society from a bank **other than Cooperative bank** which is not applicable to the facts of the case. The case laws applicable are Lands' End Co-operative Housing Society Vs ITO in ITA No. 3566/M/2014 dt. 15.01.2016 and Sea Green Co-operative Housing Society Ltd. vs ITO 1343/M/2017 dt 31.03.2017.

5.5 Hon'ble Supreme Court has in its order in the case Mavilayi Co-operative Bank Civil Appeals No.7343-7350 & 8315 of 2019 (Diary No.31268 of 2019) vide order dated 12.01.2021 while discussing the deduction 80P(2)(a) of the Act, explained various deductions available to the society as under.

34. Seventhly, section 80P(2)(c) also makes it clear that section 80P is concerned with the co-operative movement generally and, therefore, the moment a co-operative society is registered under the 1912 Act, or a State Act, and is engaged in activities which may be termed as residuary activities i.e. activities not covered by sub-clauses (a) and (b), either independently or in addition to those activities, then profits and gains attributable to such activity are also liable to be deducted, but subject to the cap specified in sub-clause (c). The reach of sub-clause (c) is extremely wide, and would include co-operative societies engaged in any activity, completely independent of the activities mentioned in sub-clauses (a) and (b), subject to the cap of INR 50,000/- to be found in sub-clause (c)(ii). This puts paid to any argument that in order to avail of a benefit under Section 80P, a cooperative society once classified as a particular type of society, must continue to fulfill those objects alone. If such objects are only partially carried out, and the society conducts any other legitimate type of activity, such co-operative society would only be entitled to a maximum deduction of Rs.50,000/- under sub-clause (c).

35. Eighthly, sub-clause (d) also points in the same direction, in that interest or dividend income derived by a cooperative society from investments with other co-operative societies, are also entitled to deduct the whole of such income, the object of the provision being furtherance of the co-operative movement as a whole.

5.6 In this case, the appellant submitted that the sources of income of the society is issue of shares and collecting entrance fee from new members and acceptance of deposits. The surplus funds available with it are deposited in some banks and State Government Treasury. The AO observed from the balance sheet produced by the appellant for the year under consideration that the percentage of loans granted for agricultural purposes is low when compared to loans granted for purposes other than agriculture. In the appellate proceedings, burden of proof lies on the appellant to prove that facts and findings of the AO are incorrect. Rather than



— TRUE Copy —
For TIRURANGADI SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE
BANK LTD.No:F.1185

pointing out the observations of the Assessing officer, the appellant would have filed the details in support of its claim that the appellant has fulfilled the primary object of principal business of which is to provide financial accommodation to its members for agricultural purposes or for the purposes connected with agricultural activities. The assessee has failed to establish their status and credentials and fulfilling the objectives as a primary agricultural credit society. Since the appellant did not come forward with any supporting evidences/information responding to the notices issued so far, the appeal is **dismissed**.

5.7 Further, the Hon. Karnataka High Court order of Totagar Society (2017) 395 ITR 0611, the Hon. Gujarat High Court in Katlary Kiryana (2022) 327 CTR 0128, are concerned it has been held in context of 80P(2)(d) that cooperative banks are not a species of Cooperative society and interest on FD / dividend deposited in cooperative bank is taxable. Respectfully following the decisions as cited above, it is held that the interest earned by the appellant Co-operative society from Co-operative banks is not eligible for deduction u/s 80P(2)(d) of the Act.

6. In the result, the appeal is **dismissed**.

3. Suffice to say, the Revenue's first and foremost substantive ground is that this assessee is carrying-out regular banking business activity(ies) with general public than a mere credit cooperative society to be eligible for the impugned deduction. We find that there is no material on record indicating assessee's activity(ies) either in banking or with general public; than it's member(s) only; as the case may be. Hon'ble apex court's recent landmark decision in Kerala State Cooperative Cooperative Agricultural and Rural Development Bank [2023] 458 ITR 384 (SC) has already rejected the Revenue's very stand as under :

“14. We shall now analyse the aforesaid judgments in a common conspectus.

14.1. In Apex Co-operative Bank of Urban Bank of Maharashtra and Goa Ltd., it was categorically held that under Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949 only three co-operative banks have been defined, namely, state co-operative bank, central co-operative bank and primary co- 51 operative bank which are covered under Section 56 (cci) read with (ccvii) read with the provisions of the NABARD Act, 1981. Thus, it is only these three banks which are co-operative banks which require a licence under the BR Act, 1949 to engage in banking business. If any bank does not fall within the nomenclature of the aforesaid three banks as defined under the NABARD Act, 1981, it would not be a co-operative bank within the meaning of Section 56 of BR Act, 1949 irrespective of whatever nomenclature it may have or structure it may possess or incorporated under any Act. It was further stated that if a bank has to be a state cooperative bank, there has to be a declaration made by the State Government in terms of Section 2(u) of NABARD Act, 1981. Hence, it is necessary to go into the question

as to, whether, the appellant herein has been so declared as a state co-operative bank. This question would need not detain us for long as the Kerala High Court in A.P. Varghese had categorically stated that the “Kerala State Co-operative Bank” is a “state co-operative bank” as defined under the NABARD Act, 1981. Therefore, the appellant bank has not been declared as a state cooperative bank under the provisions of NABARD Act, 1981. Further, in the case of Mavilayi Service Co-operative Bank, this Court observed that a co-operative bank would engage in banking business on obtaining a licence under Section 22(1b) of the BR Act, 1949. In the instant case, the appellant herein is not a co-operative bank having regard to the aforesaid conspectus of the provisions so as to require a licence under the aforesaid provision for carrying on banking business. In the 52 circumstances, the question could still arise as to whether the appellant herein is entitled to benefit of deduction under Section 80P of the Act.

14.2. *In Mavilayi Service Co-operative Bank, it has been observed that Section 80P of the Act is a beneficial provision*

which was enacted in order to encourage and promote the growth of the co-operative sector generally in the economic life of the country and therefore, has to be read liberally in favour of the assessee. That once the assessee is entitled to avail of deduction, the entire amount of profits and gains of business that are attributable to any one or more activities mentioned in subsection (2) of Section 80P must be given by way of deduction vide Citizen Co-operative Society. This is because sub-section (4) of Section 80P is in the nature of a proviso to the main provision contained in subsections (1) and (2) of Section 80P. The proviso excludes co-operative banks, which are co-operative societies which must possess a licence from the Reserve Bank of India to do banking business. In other words, if an entity does not require a licence to do banking business within the definition of banking under Section 5(b) of the BR Act, 1949, then it would not fall within the scope of sub-section (4) of Section 80P.

14.3. *While analysing Section 80P of the Act in depth, the following points were noted by this Court:*

- i) *Firstly, the marginal note to Section 80P which reads “Deduction in respect of income of co-operative societies” is significant as it indicates the general “drift” of the provision.*
- ii) *Secondly, for purposes of eligibility for deduction, the assessee must be a “co-operative society”.*
- iii) *Thirdly, the gross total income must include income that is referred to in sub-section (2).*
- iv) *Fourthly, sub-clause (2)(a)(i) speaks of a co-operative society being “engaged in”, inter alia, carrying on the business of banking or providing credit facilities to its members.*
- v) *Fifthly, the burden is on the assessee to show, by adducing facts, that it is entitled to claim the deduction under Section 80P.*
- vi) *Sixthly, the expression “providing credit facilities to its members” does not necessarily mean agricultural credit alone. It was highlighted that the distinction between eligibility for deduction and attributability of amount of profits and gains to an activity is a real one. Since profits and gains from credit facilities given to non-members cannot be said to be attributable to the*

activity of providing credit facilities to its members, such amount cannot be deducted.

vii) Seventhly, under Section 80P(1)(c), the co-operative societies must be registered either under Co-operative Societies Act, 1912, or a State Act and may be engaged in activities which may be termed as residuary activities i.e. activities not covered by sub-clauses (a) and (b), either independently of or in addition to those activities, then profits and gains attributable to such activity are also liable to be deducted, but subject to the cap specified in sub-clause (c).

viii) Eighthly, sub-clause (d) states that where interest or dividend income is derived by a co-operative society from investments with other co-operative societies, the whole of such income is eligible for deduction, the object of the provision being furtherance of the co-operative movement as a whole.

14.4. In paragraph 42 of *Mavilayi Service Co-operative Bank*, this Court observed that the object and purpose of sub-section (4) of Section 80P is to exclude only co-operative banks that function on par with other commercial banks i.e. which lend money to

members of the public. That on a reading of Section 3 read with Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949, the primary co-operative bank cannot be a primary agricultural credit society. As such co-operative bank must be engaged in the business of banking as defined by Section 5(b) of the BR Act, 1949, which means accepting, for the purpose of lending or investment, of deposits of money from the public. Also under Section 22(1)(b) of the BR Act, 1949, no cooperative society can carry on banking business in India, unless it is a co-operative bank and holds a licence issued in that behalf by Reserve Bank of India. It was pointed out that as opposed to the above, a primary agricultural credit society is a co-operative society, the primary object of which is to provide financial accommodation to its members for agricultural purposes or for purposes connected with agricultural activities.

14.5. *It was further observed in the said case that some primary agricultural credit societies had sought for banking licence from Reserve Bank of India but the same was turned down by observing that such a society was not carrying on the*

business of banking and that it did not come under the purview of Reserve Bank of India requiring a licence for its business.

14.6. *Thereafter in paragraph 48 of the judgment, it was observed that a deduction that is given without any reference to any restriction or limitation cannot be restricted or limited by implication. That subsection (4) of Section 80P which is in the nature of a proviso specifically excludes co-operative banks which are co-operative societies engaged in banking business i.e. engaged in lending money to members of the public, which have a licence in this behalf from Reserve Bank of India.*

15. *It is on the aforesaid touchstone that these appeals must now be further considered from the point of view of the applicable provisions of law.*

15.1. *Section 80P speaks about deduction in respect of income of cooperative societies from the gross total income referred to in sub-section (2) of the said Section. From the said income, there shall be deducted, in accordance with the provisions of Section 80P, sums specified in subsection (2), in computing the total income of the assessee for the purpose of*

payment of income tax. Sub-section (2) of Section 80P enumerates 56 various kinds of co-operative societies. Sub-section (2)(a)(i) states that if a co-operative society is engaged in carrying on the business of banking or providing credit facilities to its members, the whole of the amount of profits and gains of business attributable to any one or more of such activities shall be deducted. The sub-section makes a clear distinction between business of banking on the one hand and providing credit facilities to its members by co-operative society on the other. Thus, the definition of banking under Section 5(b) of the BR Act must be borne in mind as opposed to providing credit facilities to its members.

15.2. *Section 80P was inserted to the Act with effect from 01.04.1968, however, sub-section (4) was reinserted with effect from 01.04.2007, in the present form. Earlier sub-section (4) was omitted with effect from 01.04.1970. Sub-section (4) of Section 80P in the present form is in the nature of an exception which states that the provisions of Section 80P shall apply in relation to any co-operative bank other than a primary agricultural credit*

society or a primary co-operative agricultural and rural development bank. The expressions co-operative bank and primary agricultural credit society as well as primary co-operative agricultural and rural development bank are defined in the Explanation as co-operative bank and primary agricultural credit society having the meanings respectively assigned to them in Part V of the BR Act, 1949.

15.3. *The controversy in this case is, whether, the appellant entity is a co-operative bank and if so, it would be covered within the scope and 57 meaning of sub-section (4) of Section 80P and therefore, would not be eligible to the benefit of deduction as provided therein.*

15.4. *Having regard to the Explanation to sub-section (4) of Section 80P, it is necessary to consider Chapter V of the BR Act, 1949 which states that the said Act shall apply to co-operative societies subject to modifications made thereunder. Section 56 begins with a non-obstante clause and states that notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, the provisions of the said Act shall apply to, or in*

relation to, co-operative societies as they apply to, or in relation to banking companies subject to the following modifications, namely,

- in clause (a) throughout the said Act, unless the context otherwise requires,- (i) references to a “banking company” or “the company” or “such company” shall be construed as references to a cooperative bank.*
- in clause (c), it is stated that in Section 5 as per clause (cci), “cooperative bank” means a state co-operative bank, a central cooperative bank and a primary co-operative bank.*
- clause (ccv) defines “primary co-operative bank” while clause (ccvii) defines “central co-operative bank” and “state co-operative bank” to have the meanings assigned to them in the NABARD Act, 1981.*

Since the expression ‘banking company’ is defined under the BR Act, 1949, it would be useful to consider the definition of banking company in Section 5(c) thereof which means any company which transacts the business of banking in India. “Banking” is defined in Section 5(b) of the said Act to mean the accepting, for

the purpose of lending or investment, of deposits of money from the public, repayable on demand or otherwise, and withdrawal by cheque, draft, order or otherwise. Therefore, a banking company must transact banking business vis-à-vis the public. Thus, in the first place a co-operative society must be engaged in banking business as defined in Section 5(b) of the said Act. For that, Section 22 of the BR Act, 1949, speaks about licence to be obtained by a bank to do banking business which is modified as per clause (o) of Section 56 thereof which states that no cooperative society shall carry on banking business in India unless it is a co-operative bank and holds a licence issued in that behalf by the Reserve Bank, subject to such conditions, if any, as the Reserve Bank may deem fit to impose. Secondly, a co-operative society must obtain a licence under Section 22 of the BR Act, 1949, only if it functions as a co-operative bank and not otherwise. Thus, a co-operative society including a co-operative credit society which is not a co-operative bank does not require a licence to function as such.

15.5. Further, Section 2(d) of NABARD Act, 1981 defines central cooperative bank while Section 2(u) defines a state co-operative bank to mean the principal co-operative society in a State, the primary object of which is financing of other co-operative societies in the State which 59 means, it is in the nature of an apex co-operative bank having regard to the definition under Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949, in relation to cooperative bank. The proviso states that in addition to such principal society in a State, or where there is no such principal society in a State, the State Government may declare any one or more co-operative societies carrying on business of banking in that State to be also or to be a state co-operative bank or state co-operative banks within the meaning of the definition. Section 2(v) of NABARD Act, 1981 defines state land development bank to mean the co-operative society which is the principal land development bank (by whatever name called) in a State and which has as its primary object the providing of long-term finance for agricultural development.

15.6. Section 2(w) states that words and expressions used in the NABARD Act, 1981 which are not defined therein but defined in the RBI Act, shall have the meanings respectively assigned to them in that Act. Section 2(x) of the said Act states that words and expressions used in the NABARD Act, 1981 and not defined either in the said Act or in the RBI Act, but defined in the BR Act, 1949, shall have the meanings respectively assigned to them in the BR Act, 1949. Therefore, we revert back to BR Act, 1949.

15.7. What is central to the controversy in this batch of cases is, whether, the appellant bank is a co-operative bank. What is of significance to know is, a state co-operative bank or central co-operative 60 bank under the NABARD Act, 1981 is essentially a principal cooperative society either in a district or in a State, respectively, the primary object of which is the financing of other co-operative societies in the district or the State respectively. Further, NABARD Act, 1981 does not define banking business. Hence, reliance is to be placed, on the definition of banking business in terms of clause (w) of Section 2 of NABARD Act, 1981 which means the RBI Act has to be seen. When the RBI Act is

perused, it is noted that clause (i) of Section 2 defines “cooperative bank”, “co-operative credit society”, “director”, “primary agricultural credit society”, “primary co-operative bank” and “primary credit society” to have the meanings respectively assigned to them in Part V of the BR Act, 1949. Therefore, we have to again fall back on Part V of the BR Act, 1949 which has defined a co-operative bank in Section 56 (c)(i)(cci) to be a state co-operative bank, a central co-operative bank and a primary co-operative bank and central co-operative bank and state co-operative bank to have the same meanings as NABARD Act, 1981.

15.8. *Since the words ‘bank’ and ‘banking company’ are not defined in the NABARD Act, 1981, the definition in sub-clause (i) of clause (a) of Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949 has to be relied upon. It states that a cooperative society in the context of a co-operative bank is in relation to or as a banking company. Thus, co-operative bank shall be construed as references to a banking company and when the definition of banking 61 company in clause (c) of Section 5 of the BR Act, 1949 is seen, it means any*

company which transacts the business of banking in India and as already noted banking business is defined in clause (b) of Section 5 to mean the accepting, for the purpose of lending or investment, of deposits of money from the public, repayable on demand or otherwise, and withdrawal by cheque, draft, order or otherwise. Thus, it is only when a co-operative society is conducting banking business in terms of the definition referred to above that it becomes a co-operative bank and in such a case, Section 22 of the BR Act, 1949 would apply wherein it would require a licence to run a co-operative bank. In other words, if a co-operative society is not conducting the business of banking as defined in clause (b) of Section 5 of the BR Act, 1949, it would not be a co-operative bank and not so within the meanings of a state co-operative bank, a central co-operative bank or a primary co-operative bank in terms of Section 56(c)(i)(cci). Whereas a co-operative bank is in the nature of a banking company which transacts the business of banking as defined in clause (b) of Section 5 of the BR Act, 1949. But if a cooperative society does not transact the business of banking as defined in clause (b) of

Section 5 of the BR Act, 1949, it would not be a cooperative bank. Then the definitions under the NABARD Act, 1981 would not apply. If a co-operative society is not a co-operative bank, then such an entity would be entitled to deduction but on the other hand, if it is a co-operative bank within the meaning of Section 56 of BR Act, 1949 read with the provisions of NABARD Act, 1981 then it would not be entitled to the benefit of deduction under sub-section (4) of Section 80P of the Act.

15.9. *Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949 begins with a non-obstante clause which states that notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, the provisions of the said Act, shall apply to, or in relation to, co-operative societies as they apply to, or in relation to, banking companies subject to certain modifications. The object of Section 56 is to provide a deeming fiction by equating a co-operative society to a banking company if it is a co-operative bank within the meaning of the said provision. This is because Chapter V of the BR Act, 1949, deals with application of the Chapter to co-operative societies which are co-operative banks within the*

meaning of the said chapter. For the purpose of these cases, what is relevant is that throughout the BR Act, 1949, unless the context otherwise requires, - references to a “banking company” or “the company” or “such company” shall be construed as references to a co-operative bank. Therefore, while considering the meaning of a co-operative bank inherently, such a cooperative society must be a banking company then only it would be construed as a co-operative bank requiring a licence under Section 22 of BR Act, 1949 in order to function as such a bank.

15.10. *Further, while considering the definition of a co-operative bank under Section 56(cci) of the BR Act, 1949, to mean a state cooperative bank, a central co-operative bank and a primary co-operative 63 bank which is defined in (ccviii) thereof, to have meanings respectively assigned to them in the NABARD Act, 1981 would imply that if a state co-operative bank is within the meaning of NABARD Act, 1981 then it would be excluded from the benefit under Section 80P of the Act. Conversely, if a co-operative society is not a co-operative bank within the meaning of*

Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949, it would be entitled to the benefit of deduction under Section 80P of the Act.

15.11. *Looked at from another angle, a co-operative society which is not a state co-operative bank within the meaning of NABARD Act, 1981 would not be a co-operative bank within the meaning of Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949. In the instant case, as already noted in A.P. Varghese case, the Kerala State Co-operative Bank being declared as a state co-operative bank by the Kerala State Government in terms of NABARD Act, 1981 and the appellant society not being so declared, would imply that the appellant society is not a state co-operative bank.*

15.12. *In fact, in Citizen Co-operative Society Ltd., this Court held that the appellant therein was having both members as well as nominal members who were depositing and availing loan facilities from the appellant therein and therefore, appellant therein was not entitled to the benefit of Section 80P of the Act as it was functioning as a cooperative bank. But, the appellant herein is not a co-operative bank and neither has it been so declared under the provisions of NABARD Act, 1981 or the State*

Act. On the other hand, under the provisions of State 64 Act, 1969, the Kerala State Co-operative Bank has been so declared by the Government of Kerala as a co-operative bank.

15.13. *Further, under the provisions of the State Act, 1984, ‘agricultural and rural development bank’ means the Kerala Cooperative Central Land Mortgage Bank Limited, registered under Section 10 of the Travancore-Cochin Co-operative Societies Act, 1951, which shall be known as Kerala State Co-operative Agricultural and Rural Development Bank Limited i.e. the appellant herein. Thus, from a conjoint reading of all the relevant statutory as alluded to hereinabove, it is quite clear that the appellant is not a co-operative bank within the meaning of sub-section (4) of Section 80P of the Act. The appellant is a co-operative credit society under Section 80P(2)(a)(i) of the Act whose primary object is to provide financial accommodation to its members who are all other co-operative societies and not members of the public.*

15.14. *Therefore, when the definition of “co-operative bank” in Section 56 of BR Act, 1949 is viewed in terms of Sections 2(u) of*

the NABARD Act, 1981, it is clear that only a state co-operative bank would be within the scope and meaning of a banking company under Section 2(c) of the BR Act, 1949 on obtaining licence under Section 22 of the said Act.

Conclusion:

In the instant case, although the appellant society is an apex cooperative society within the meaning of the State Act, 1984, it is not a co-operative bank within the meaning of Section 5(b) read with Section 56 of the BR Act, 1949.

In the result, the appeals filed by the appellant are allowed and the order(s) of the Kerala High Court and other authorities to the contrary are set aside. Consequently, we hold that the appellant is entitled to the benefit of deduction under Section 80P of the Act. The questions for consideration are answered accordingly.

Parties to bear their respective costs.”

4. The Revenue's next vehement objection is in light of PCIT & Anr. vs. Totagars Cooperative Sales Society [2017] 395 ITR 611 (Kar.) and The Totagars Cooperative Sales Society vs. ITO [2010] 322 ITR

283 (SC) that the interest income derived from deposits made in cooperative bank does not qualify for the impugned deduction.

5. We find in this factual backdrop that hon'ble jurisdictional high court's latest decision in PCIT v. Peroorkada Service Co-op. Bank Ltd. [2022] 442 ITR 141 (Ker) has already rejected the Revenue's very stand reading as under : -

“12.2. Section 80P deals with Co-operative Societies' computation of income. As already noted, it has four sections and several sub-sections and clauses. The Parliament has considered the various situations in which the exigible income and the deductible income of the assessee is considered while computing the income of the assessee. For getting deduction, in our considered view, the assessee must also establish that the interest income earned by the assessee is from a Co-operative Society. As a matter of fact, in the case on hand, there is no dispute that it is not from a Co-operative Society registered under Kerala Co-operative Societies Act. The interest income earned from District Co-operative Bank/State Co-operative Bank, in the facts and circumstances of the case, do come within Section 80P(2)(d).

Therefore, the income constitutes income from other sources and the only eligible deduction is covered by Section 80P(2)(d) viz. Interest or dividend derived by the assessee from its investments with any other Co-operative Society. The source of interest income is from Bank and Treasury, interest income received from Treasury be included in the computation of total income of the assessee. In other words, interest earned from Treasury is inadmissible for deduction and interest income from Co-operative Societies registered under the Kerala Co-operative Societies Act are eligible for deduction. The contra consideration of Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) and the Tribunal is incorrect and liable to be modified as stated above. Hence, it is held that the interest income earned by the assessee does not come within the ambit of Section 80P(2)(a)(i) and permissible deduction of interest income is limited to Co-operative Societies/Banks registered under Kerala Co-operative Societies Act under clause (d) of the Act and effect order on the above lines is made by the Assessing Officer. The questions are accordingly answered.”

6. The Revenue's last contention before us is that assessee has also collected its interest income/deposits from new members after charging entry fee and further that it has not ensured compliance to the prescribed alleged agricultural sector loans as well.

7. We note in this factual backdrop that hon'ble apex court's yet another decision in *Mavilayi Service Cooperative Bank Ltd., vs. CIT [2021] 431 ITR 1 (SC)* has rejected the Revenue's contentions seeking to impose a cap on such credit facilities/loans extended to member(s) and also that even if there are new member(s); the impugned sec.80P detailed discussion could not be declined once they duly stand enrolled in the assessee's membership register in tune with State Cooperative law provision(s).

8. We make it clear before parting that it shall indeed be open for the department to disallow the assessee's interest income(s) derived from State Government treasury (supra) in light of *Pr. CIT vs. Peroorkada Service Cooperative Bank Ltd.*'s decision hereinabove. The assessee's instant sole substantive grievance is accepted in principle in very terms. Necessary computation shall follow as per law.

9. This assessee's appeal is allowed in above terms.

Order pronounced in the open court on 7th November, 2024.

Sd/-

(AMARJIT SINGH)
ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

Sd/-

(SATBEER SINGH GODARA)
JUDICIAL MEMBER

Cochin, Dated: 7th November, 2024

VBP/-

Copy to:

1. The Appellant
2. The Respondent
3. The Pr. CIT concerned
4. The Sr. DR, ITAT, Cochin
5. Guard File

By Order

Assistant Registrar
ITAT, Cochin