

IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH 'A', BANGALORE

BEFORE SHRI. ABRAHAM P. GEORGE, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

AND

SHRI. VIJAYPAL RAO, JUDICIAL MEMBER

I.T.A No.709/Bang/2014  
(Assessment Year : 2002-03)

Asst. Commissioner of Income tax,  
Circle - 12(4) Bangalore .. Appellant

v.

M/s. Roadlinks India (P) Ltd,  
No.99/D, KHB Colony, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, 5<sup>th</sup> Block,  
Koramangala, Bangalore 560 095 .. Respondent  
PAN : AAACR8620C

Assessee by : Shri. Suresh Muthukrishnan, CA  
Revenue by : Dr. P. K. Srihari, Addl. CIT

Heard on : 06.01.2016  
Pronounced on : 06.01.2016

**ORDER**

**PER ABRAHAM P. GEORGE, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER :**

This is an appeal filed by Revenue directed against order dated  
29.01.2014 of CIT (A)-I, Bangalore, for the A. Y. 2002-03.

02. When the appeal was taken up for hearing, the Bench pointed out to the parties that tax effect involved in this appeal was less than Rs.10 lakhs for the impugned assessment year, therefore by virtue of Circular No.21/2015, dt.10.12.2015, is below the limit laid down for filing appeals before this Tribunal.

03. Ld. DR submitted that para 8 of the circular was not applicable in this case. Therefore he did not object to the application of the circular.

04. We have perused the orders and heard the contentions. Para 4 of the circular No.21/2015 (supra) is reproduced hereunder :

*“4. For this purpose, “tax effect” means the difference between the tax on the total income assessed and the tax that would have been chargeable had such total income been reduced by the amount of income in respect of the issues against which appeal is intended to be filed (hereinafter referred to as “disputed issues”). However the tax will not include any interest thereon, except where chargeability of interest itself is in dispute. In case the chargeability of interest is the issue under dispute, the amount of interest shall be the tax effect. In cases where returned loss is reduced or assessed as income, the tax effect would include notional tax on disputed additions. In case of penalty orders, the tax effect will mean quantum of penalty deleted or reduced in the order to be appealed against.”*

05. The tax effect on the issues that are disputed by the Revenue is less than Rs.10 lakhs for the impugned assessment year. Assessee had not challenged the constitutional validity of any provisions of the Act nor had it

challenged the legality of the Circular. Revenue has not brought to our notice anything to show that the appeal arose on an issue emanating from any Revenue Audit Objections accepted by the Department. Addition giving rise to the appeal does not relate to any undisclosed foreign assets / bank accounts. Thus we find that circular No.21/ 2015 (supra) is squarely applicable in this case. However if Revenue find that appeal arise out of issues emanating from audit objection it will be free to file MP for recalling this order.

06. With the above observations appeal of the Revenue is therefore dismissed.

Pronounced in the open court on this 6th day of January, 2016.

Sd/-  
(VIJAYPAL RAO)  
JUDICIAL MEMBER

Sd/-  
(ABRAHAM P GEORGE)  
ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

MCN

Copy to:

1. The assessee
2. The Assessing Officer
3. The Commissioner of Income-tax
4. Commissioner of Income-tax(A)
5. DR
6. GF, ITAT, Bangalore

By Order  
Assistant Registrar